

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,804	07/25/2003	Richard L. Hunter	1431	
75	90 12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard L. Hunter			LAI, ANNE VIET NGA	
1835 Via Cinco De Mayo San Jose, CA 95132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
buil soot, cri	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2636	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,804	HUNTER, RICHARD L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Anne V. Lai	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>					
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON!	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly</u> 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ acc	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/626,804

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In page 2 line 20, "second timer" may be changed to – 30 second timer -because no "first timer" is disclosed, and "30 second circuit" and "30 second period" are
disclosed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bull** et al [US. 4,933,665] in view of **Karell** [US. 6,091,321].

Regarding claim 1-3, **Bull et al** (abstract, figs. 1-2) disclose a turn signal non-return indicator to alert a driver a turn signal being engaged when not so demanded, comprising a turn signal switch being connected a timer (time delay IC to reset the counter) and transfer module 11 including a connection to a counter 27, the counter 27 activating a solenoid (coil 58; fig. 2) after a time delay to alert the driver that the turn signal is in an engaged position.

Bull et al do not disclose the alert signal is a physical vibration signal; Karell teaches an uncancelled turn signal indicator which senses that the return signal has been selected for more than an appropriate period of activation, alerting the driver of the sensed condition by vibrating the driver's seat. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made the type of alarm signal indicator is selected based user convenient and preference; a vibration alerting may be more appropriated for an user with visual or hearing handicap.

Page 3

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Portman discloses a turn signal reminder device. [US. 3,806,868]

White discloses an uncancelled turn signal indicator. [US. 4,907,844]

Shannon et al disclose an audible turn signal canister unit. [US. 5,218,340]

Brown et al disclose a vehicle turn signal malfunction warning. [US. 5,309,143]

Patrick discloses a vehicular turn signal alarm. [US. 6,304,175]

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne V. Lai whose telephone number is 571-272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

Art Unit: 2636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass Jeffery can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML

A. V. Lai

December 02, 2004

JEFFERY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600